

Cheakamus Ecosystem Recovery Fund

Level One – Eligibility Review

Project Name: _____

A. Project Application Eligibility	Yes, No, N/A
1. Is the application submitted as one package, in its entirety, and complete? (If not, the application is “not approved”)	
2. Does the project occur within the funding area (Cheakamus River from the Daisy Lake dam to the estuary or a tributary to the Cheakamus River)? (If not, the application is “not approved”).	
3. Based on experience in the area and history with the reviewing group, does the applicant have the necessary financial and administrative capacity, and background to carry out the project successfully? (If not, the application is “not approved”).	
4. Is the applicant up-to-date with all Cheakamus Ecosystem Recovery Funding Program projects, reporting and invoicing? (If not, the application is “not approved”).	
5. Does the application have letters of support from the groups for the area and type of project being applied for (i.e., First Nations, Municipality, local interest groups, regulatory agencies, etc.)?	
B. Overall Comments (If there is a “no” response to any of the above criteria, then the application is “not approved”)	
COMMENTS:	

Assessment: _____ (CERTC Representative)

Dated: _____

Cheakamus Ecosystem Recovery Fund

Level Two – Technical Review (Regular Applications)

Project Name: _____

Score: 1 is low; 3 is medium; and 5 is high. No=0

A. Regular Project Criteria	Yes or No	Score (1-5)
1. Does this proposal clearly identify the specific species and lifecycle stage to be targeted by the project? (If not, the application is "not approved"). <u>Score:</u> Species on the priority list score higher than others.		
2. Does the application demonstrate the technical capacity to carry out this project? (If not, the application is "not approved"). <u>Score:</u> If the project is technically challenging, are professionals sufficiently retained for design/supervision?		
3. Is the technical design and level of detail provided appropriate for the described project? (If it is not, the application is "not approved"). <u>Score:</u> Rate the appropriateness of the design for the project.		
4. Will the proposed enhancement activity be effective in contributing to recovery of an affected population associated with the Cheakamus River? or Will the proposed assessment activity be effective in addressing recognized data gaps important to the CN Cheakamus recovery? <u>Score:</u> Rate the expected enhancement value or the necessity/value the increased information will provide to the Cheakamus ecosystem recovery (reduced score for assessments that are agency responsibility)		
5. Are the deliverables clearly defined and practically achievable? Score: Rate 1 to 5		
6. Is the budget appropriate for the work that will be undertaken? Score: Rate 1 to 5		
B. Overall Rating (Based on the criteria above and cost/benefit analysis.) <u>Must score a minimum of 20/30 to be approved</u>	Approved/ Conditional Approval/ Not Approved	<hr style="width: 50px; margin: auto;"/> 30
COMMENTS:		

Assessment: _____ (CERTC Representative)

Dated: _____

Cheakamus Ecosystem Recovery Fund

Level Three – Value Added / Decision

Project Name: _____

Score: 1 is low; 3 is medium; and 5 is high. No=0

A. Value-Added		Score (1-5)
<p>7. Does this project have a Communication Plan or information/ educational component (e.g. community outreach, public awareness, interpretive facilities & signs, press releases, special events at the site)?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Score: Rate the Communication Plan, if none, then not approved.</p>		
<p>8. Does this project have co-funding partners (cash contribution & per cent of total project budget) and what is the dollar value of the co-funding partner contributions and percent of the total project budget?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Score: Rate the co-funding partnership balance, higher score for reasonably balanced partnering.</p>		
<p>9. Does this project have in-kind contributions (community, agency, industry, First Nations, BC government, or federal government) and what is the dollar value of the in-kind contributions and percent of the total project budget?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Score: Higher score for well balanced in-kind contributions as they engage industry, agencies & community.</p>		
<p>10. Does this project include volunteer contributions (donation) and is this a reasonable balance with the estimated requested project budget?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Score: Higher score for well balanced volunteer support to increase community education and involvement.</p>		
B. Performance	Yes or No	
<p>11. Is the overall project realistic? (e.g. Does the budget achieve the deliverables including value added aspects)? If no, then not approved.</p>		
<p>12. Has the applicant demonstrated the ability to complete projects on time and on budget? If no, then not approved.</p>		
<p>C. Committees' Overall Rating (based on the overall evaluation)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Must score a minimum of 20/30 to be approved</u></p>	Approved/Not Approved/ Conditional Approval	<u>30</u>
COMMENTS:		

Decision: _____ (CERTC Representative)

Dated: _____

